

**TRANSCRIPT OF MICHAEL LONG COMMENTS  
PUBLIC COMMENT – BOROUGH COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 11, 2025**

**LONG:** Michael Long, 220 Creek Road. Ah, what I'm about present to you is not a political disagreement, not a misunderstanding, not a matter of personal opinion. This is about a public official, Mr. Bradford Flynn, committing acts that meet the definition of multiple felonies under Pennsylvania Law this past, ah, two weeks.

**ARMSTRONG:** I will, Mr. Long, I don't mean to interrupt your public comment. But, ah, please be aware, ah, defamatory statements, this is a public meeting...defamatory

**LONG:** No. No, I understand all about defamation...

**ARMSTRONG:** This is a public meeting, defamatory statements...

**LONG:** During my pending Right-to-Know Appeal, a key piece of evidence...

**HESCH:** EXCUSE ME, MIKE! The attorney was talking, will you please let him finish making his statement. I'll let you talk, OKAY? Don't interrupt.

**LONG:** Okay.

**ARMSTRONG:** I'm just advising you to act accordingly. And think before you speak if you're going to make any statements at a public meeting about someone, ah, that is here this evening.

**LONG:** Okay.

**LONG:** During my pending Right-to-Know appeal a key piece of evidence was a sworn attestation being prepared by a former Bath Borough Official, someone with first-hand knowledge of the Borough's financial records. This attestation was still in an internal non-public [inaudible] que with the Office of Open Records, Office of Open Records. It not had been finalized, it not been approved, it had not been made public. Mr. Flynn accessed this pending rejected document and he misrepresented it as an official public record. He then emailed it to the witnesses current employer with clear intent to discredit them and pressure them from withdrawing from the matter entirely. The witness did withdraw. Under the law, ah, witness intimidation, under a statute if intimidation is carried out with deception, in other words intentionally creating a false impression, it is automatically graded as a felony of the third degree. That is exactly what happened here. He took a document he knew was not on an official record, he pretended it was, and he used that falsehood to influence and silence a witness in an active proceeding. Ah...also under Pennsylvania law, it makes a separate felony to use any phone, email, or electronic device to commit or facilitate a felony. Mr. Flynn, Borough Manager, used a borough email, a government, ah, communication system, to send a deceptive message. That is criminal

**Commented [BF1]:** Mr. Long was given a clear warning about making defamatory and frankly libelous comments at a public forum.

**Commented [BF2]:** This referenced Councilperson wrote an email to the Borough on July 30, 2025, stating he actually wasn't privileged to the account Mr. Long wanted information about.

**Commented [BF3]:** On July 28<sup>th</sup> the Borough received multiple email notifications from the Office of Open Records non-reply email that Mr. Long was attempting to file an attestation from a former Bath councilperson. The attestation was filled with language previously authored by Mr. Long. The signature of the attestation was also suspicious. Flynn reached out to this former councilperson to verify the content and signature of the attestation. The former councilperson initially denied involvement, didn't agree with any of the contents of the attestation and under no circumstance signed the attestation. Mr. Long appeared to have submitted a forged document under threat of perjury to OOR. That record was later pulled from the docket by Mr. Long. This incident has been turned over to the Northampton County District Attorney's Office.

**TRANSCRIPT OF MICHAEL LONG COMMENTS  
PUBLIC COMMENT – BOROUGH COUNCIL MEETING OF AUGUST 11, 2025**

use of communication facility, another felony of the third degree. Each of that, each use of that email to commit the act has its own count. This is not just about me. This about protecting the integrity of the process, rights of witnesses and rules and laws in the Borough, of Bath Borough. We just spoke to...how we're...ah, worried about, you know, making sure that the laws, ah, acted upon here, yet...I think we need to start by...at the top here, to set a rep...to set a, ah, to set an example for the rest of the people. This is about protecting the integrity of the process. If the Borough Manager secretly pulled an [enveloped] documents, twist their meaning, and use it to destroy a witness's participation in a legal proceeding, then the system is no longer fair or lawful. That's why I referred this matter, its been referred, to this, Northampton County District Attorney for criminal review. What I'm asking you [inaudible] in your responsibility...I also have the emails that you sent which do constitute witness intimidation. Ah, not just as my opinion. That is the opinion of a couple other individuals. Ah...and another thing, you asked me one time, right, I've been talking about the financial for, you know, a couple years here...and you asked me one time, why was that your FRS or your, ah, Auditor never caught any that. Well, I'll tell you that they did. And I found how they did. And actually, what FRS caught was they caught a bunch of transaction deposits made by mayor in 2021 to an uncategorized income fund. Yes, when you add those up it actually comes up to \$19,089...[inaudible]

**EHRGOTT:** Why don't we talk about the money we spent on you?

**LONG:** Yeah, and you lost that in court didn't you?

**HESCH:** Can we not engage in the back and forth...

**ARMSTRONG:** Okay, Mr. Long, Mr. Long this is a public comment session...

**HESCH:** Mr. Long, I think you have a minute left.

**LONG:** Okay, so, yeah, we did go to court. You spent two years in court to fight to not give over documents. And in the end, something I put in the very first request is what the judge confirmed and denied your appeal. So, you spent a \$100,000 on nothing.

[Mr. Long steps away from the podium and sits down.]

**EHRGOTT:** Yeah, on you.

**HESCH:** Alright, no more courtesy of the floor. Moving on to business that we have.

END

**Commented [BF4]:** False. The Borough properly investigated the attempted use of an attestation that appeared forged. Even the councilperson that was said to have authored the attestation denies having written the attestation or signing it. Mr. Long was trying to manipulate the Appeals Officer in this matter to serve his own interests.

**Commented [BF5]:** None of what Mr. Long is saying here makes any sense whatsoever. Mr. Long has tried repeatedly to represent some type of massive fraud that simply doesn't exist.

**Commented [BF6]:** The Borough spent an enormous amount of money on legal fees defending itself against Mr. Long's legally baseless and frivolous motions. The Borough partially recouped some of its costs after Mr. Long was sanctioned by the Court for his bad faith misconduct. Mr. Long unnecessarily protracted this matter for months. In the end, the Borough's position had not changed and Mr. Long is still not entitled to the attorney-client privileged emails he was originally seeking.